

ALMOST COTANGENT MANIFOLDS

R. S. CLARK & D. S. GOEL

1. The geometry of the cotangent manifold $T^*\mathcal{M}$ of a differentiable manifold \mathcal{M} has been studied by K. Yano and E. M. Patterson [4], [5], [6]. Some of their results can be extended to a manifold M of dimension $2n$ carrying a G -structure whose group consists of all $2n \times 2n$ matrices of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & (A^{-1})^t \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A \in GL(R^n)$ and $A^t B = B^t A$. Such a structure is an *almost cotangent structure*, and such a manifold M is an *almost cotangent manifold* (M. R. Bruckheimer [1]).

Example 1.1. Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a manifold of dimension n , and that $\pi: T^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the natural projection which takes a covector at $m \in \mathcal{M}$ to the point m . Any function f in \mathcal{M} can be lifted to a function $f \circ \pi$ in $T^*\mathcal{M}$ but we shall denote it by the same symbol f . If x is a chart of \mathcal{M} with domain V , we can define a standard chart (x, y) of $T^*\mathcal{M}$ with domain $\pi^{-1}V$. Two such charts $(x, y), (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with intersecting domains are related by a change of coordinates whose Jacobian matrix has the form (1.1) with

$$(1.2) \quad A = \left[\frac{\partial x^a}{\partial \bar{x}^b} \right], \quad B = \left[\frac{\partial^2 \bar{x}^c}{\partial x^a \partial x^d} \frac{\partial x^d}{\partial \bar{x}^b} \bar{y}_c \right],$$

where $a, b, c, d = 1, \dots, n$. The natural moving frames associated with these charts therefore define an almost cotangent structure on $T^*\mathcal{M}$.

Suppose that M is any almost cotangent manifold. We define a 2-form ω on M by specifying its components to be

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

relative to any adapted frame of M . ω determines an *almost symplectic structure* on M to which the given almost cotangent structure is subordinate. If $(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n})$ is any adapted moving coframe of M , then locally

$$\omega = \theta^a \wedge \theta^{a+n} \quad (a = 1, \dots, n).$$

Communicated by K. Yano, October 2, 1972.

The 1-forms $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n$ form a local cobasis for an n -dimensional *distribution* \mathcal{D} on M . This determines a G -structure on M to which the given almost cotangent structure is subordinate. Its group consists of the $2n \times 2n$ matrices of the form

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A, C \in GL(R^n)$.

Conversely, we have

Proposition 1.1. *If an n dimensional distribution and an almost symplectic structure on a $2n$ -dimensional manifold have a common subordinate structure, then this is an almost cotangent structure.*

Proof. The group of the G -structure defined by the distribution consists of $2n \times 2n$ matrices of the form (1.4). If such a matrix also belongs to the symplectic group, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^t & B^t \\ 0 & C^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies that $A^t B = B^t A$ and $C = (A^{-1})^t$. Consequently such a matrix is of the form (1.1). q.e.d.

Let M be a differentiable manifold carrying an almost symplectic structure determined by a 2-form ω . Given any vector field X in M , we use ω to define a 1-form $Y \mapsto \omega(X, Y)$ in M with the same domain. Since ω is nonsingular, it maps independent vector fields to independent 1-forms.

Proposition 1.2. *An n -dimensional distribution \mathcal{D} and an almost symplectic structure on a $2n$ -dimensional manifold M admit a common subordinate structure iff ω maps each basis of \mathcal{D} to a cobasis of \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. Suppose that the two structures have a common subordinate structure. Choose any moving frame (X_1, \dots, X_{2n}) adapted for this structure, and let $(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n})$ be the dual moving coframe. Then X_{a+n} ($a = 1, \dots, n$) is a local basis for \mathcal{D} , and θ^a ($a = 1, \dots, n$) is a local cobasis. ω maps the vector field X_{a+n} to the 1-form ψ^a defined by

$$\psi^a(X_i) = \omega(X_{a+n}, X_i) = \delta_{ai} \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2n),$$

and so $\psi^a = \theta^a$. More generally, ω maps any local basis Y_{a+n} ($a = 1, \dots, n$) for \mathcal{D} to a cobasis, since we can choose the moving frame so that locally

$$Y_{b+n} = \alpha_b^a X_{a+n} \quad \det \alpha \neq 0.$$

This maps to $\alpha_b^a \theta^a$ which is a cobasis for \mathcal{D} .

Conversely, suppose that ω maps each basis for \mathcal{D} to a cobasis. Choose any moving frame (Y_1, \dots, Y_{2n}) which is adapted for \mathcal{D} . The vector fields Y_{a+n} ($a = 1, \dots, n$) form a basis for \mathcal{D} , and so the 1-forms

$$Y \rightarrow \omega(Y_{a+n}, Y) \quad (a = 1, \dots, n)$$

form a cobasis. Consequently $\omega(Y_{a+n}, Y_{b+n}) = 0$, and we may write the matrix

$$\omega(Y_i, Y_j) = \begin{bmatrix} P & -Q^t \\ Q & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $P^t = -P$, and $\det Q = 0$. We now construct a new moving frame

$$[X_1, \dots, X_{2n}] = [Y_1, \dots, Y_{2n}] \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A = Q^{-1}$, $B = \frac{1}{2}(Q^{-1})^t P Q^{-1}$, $C = I$. This too is adapted for the distribution, and also for the almost symplectic structure since

$$\omega(X_i, X_j) = \begin{bmatrix} A^t & B^t \\ 0 & C^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P & -Q^t \\ Q & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since we can find such a moving frame at each point of M , the two structures have a common subordinate structure.

2. Suppose we are given two G -structures with a common subordinate structure on a manifold M . If the subordinate structure is integrable, then so are the given structures. The converse is not necessarily true, but in the case of an almost cotangent structure we have

Proposition 2.1. *An almost cotangent structure is integrable iff the underlying distribution and almost symplectic structure are both integrable.*

Proof. Suppose that the underlying structures are both integrable. Choose any point $m \in M$. There exists a chart x at m adapted for the distribution. Choose any moving coframe $\phi = (\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{2n})$ at m adapted for the almost cotangent structure. Since it is adapted for the distribution,

$$\phi^a = A_a^b dx^b, \quad \det A \neq 0 \quad (a, b = 1, \dots, n).$$

The moving coframe θ at m defined by

$$\theta^a = dx^a, \quad \theta^{a+n} = A_a^b \phi^{b+n}$$

is adapted for the almost cotangent structure. Suppose that

$$\theta^{a+n} = \alpha_a^b dx^b + \beta_a^b dx^{b+n}.$$

Since the almost symplectic structure is integrable, the canonical 2-form $\omega = \theta^a \wedge \theta^{a+n}$ is closed, and so

$$dx^a \wedge \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_b^a}{\partial x^c} dx^c + \frac{\partial \alpha_b^a}{\partial x^{c+n}} dx^{c+n} \right) \wedge dx^b \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{\partial \beta_b^c}{\partial x^c} dx^c + \frac{\partial \beta_b^a}{\partial x^{c+n}} dx^{c+n} \right) \wedge dx^{b+n} \right\} = 0 .$$

One consequence of this is that

$$\frac{\partial \beta_b^a}{\partial x^{c+n}} = \frac{\partial \beta_c^a}{\partial x^{b+n}} .$$

It follows that the equations

$$\frac{\partial H^a}{\partial x^{b+n}} = \beta_b^a$$

admit differentiable solution $H^a(x^1, \dots, x^{2n})$ on a neighborhood of m . We use them to construct a new chart y at m by defining

$$y^a = x^a, \quad y^{a+n} = H^a(x^1, \dots, x^{2n}) .$$

In terms of this chart

$$\theta^a = dy^a, \quad \theta^{a+n} = \bar{\alpha}_b^a dy^b + dy^{a+n},$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_b^a = \alpha_b^a - \partial H^a / \partial x^b$.

Using these new coordinates, the condition $d\omega = 0$ implies that

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\alpha}_b^a}{\partial y^c} + \frac{\partial \bar{\alpha}_c^b}{\partial y^a} + \frac{\partial \bar{\alpha}_a^c}{\partial y^b} = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{c+n}} (\bar{\alpha}_b^a - \bar{\alpha}_a^b) = 0 .$$

Consider the equations

$$\frac{\partial F^a}{\partial y^b} - \frac{\partial F^b}{\partial y^a} = \bar{\alpha}_b^a - \bar{\alpha}_a^b .$$

Equations (2.2) show that the right-hand side depends only on y^1, \dots, y^n , and equations (2.1) show that differentiable solutions $F^a(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ exist at m . We define functions

$$z^a = y^a, \quad z^{a+n} = y^{a+n} + F^a(y^1, \dots, y^n) \quad (a = 1, \dots, n) .$$

Since

$$dz^a = dy^a = \theta^a,$$

$$dz^{a+n} = dy^{a+n} + \frac{\partial F^a}{\partial y^b} dy^b = \theta^{a+n} + \left(\frac{\partial F^a}{\partial y^b} - \bar{\alpha}_b^a \right) \theta^b,$$

these functions z^1, \dots, z^{2n} form a chart z at m . This chart is adapted for the almost cotangent structure since $\partial F^a / \partial y^b - \bar{\alpha}_b^a$ is symmetric in a, b .

3. S. S. Chern [2] defined a structure tensor for any given G -structure on a manifold M . This is determined by specifying its components relative to any adapted moving coframe θ with domain U .

Let Z be the subspace of $V = \text{hom}(R^n \wedge R^n, R^n)$ consisting of elements ρ such that

$$\rho(u, v) = (Su)v - (Sv)u$$

for all $u, v \in R^n$, where $L(G)$ is the Lie algebra of G and where $S \in \text{hom}(R^n, L(G))$. If matrices W_A ($A = 1, \dots, r$) form a basis for $L(G)$, then the elements $\rho \in Z$ have components

$$\rho_{jk}^i = \xi_j^A(W_A)_k^i - \xi_k^A(W_A)_j^i,$$

where $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $\xi_j^A \in R$. We have to define a subspace of V complementary to Z . Given $\gamma \in V$ we impose sufficient linear conditions on $\gamma + \rho$, where $\rho \in Z$, so that ρ is determined uniquely. Then $\gamma + \rho$ lies in a subspace W of V complementary to Z and the canonical projection $\lambda: V \rightarrow W$ is given by $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma + \rho$.

Suppose that

$$d\theta^i = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{jk}^i \theta^j \wedge \theta^k.$$

The coefficients γ_{jk}^i determine a function γ on U with values in V . The structure tensor has components $C = \lambda \circ \gamma$ relative to the moving coframe θ .

Suppose that M is an almost cotangent manifold, and let θ be an adapted moving coframe. We first calculate the structure tensor for the underlying almost symplectic structure. The Lie algebra of the symplectic group consists of $2n \times 2n$ matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & -A^t \end{bmatrix}$$

where the $n \times n$ matrices B, C are symmetric. This admits a basis consisting of matrices

$$(W_b^a - W_{a+n}^{b+n}), (W_b^{a+n} + W_{a+n}^b), (W_{b+n}^a + W_{a+n}^b), \quad (a, b = 1, \dots, n),$$

where the matrix W_j^i ($i, j = 1, \dots, 2n$) has entry 1 in the (i, j) th position and zeros elsewhere. A straightforward calculation shows that we can define ρ so that $C = \gamma + \rho$ satisfies the linear conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{bc}^a &= 0, & C_{b+n\ c+n}^{a+n} &= 0, \\
C_{b\ c+n}^{a+n} + C_{a\ c+n}^{b+n} &= 0, & C_{b\ c+n}^a + C_{b\ a+n}^c &= 0, \\
C_{bc}^{a+n} = C_{ca}^{b+n} = C_{ab}^{c+n}, & & C_{b+n\ c+n}^a = C_{c+n\ a+n}^b = C_{a+n\ b+n}^c,
\end{aligned}$$

and that these conditions determine ρ uniquely. The components C of the structure tensor relative to the coframe θ are given by

$$(3.1) \quad C_{bc}^a = 0, \quad C_{b+n\ c+n}^{a+n} = 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad C_{b\ c+n}^{a+n} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{b\ c+n}^{a+n} - \gamma_{a\ c+n}^{b+n} - \gamma_{ab}^c),$$

$$(3.3) \quad C_{b\ c+n}^a = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{b\ c+n}^a - \gamma_{c+n\ a+n}^b + \gamma_{a+n\ c+n}^{b+n}),$$

$$(3.4) \quad C_{bc}^{a+n} = \frac{1}{3}(\gamma_{bc}^{a+n} + \gamma_{ca}^{b+n} + \gamma_{ab}^{c+n}),$$

$$(3.5) \quad C_{b+n\ c+n}^a = \frac{1}{3}(\gamma_{b+n\ c+n}^a + \gamma_{c+n\ a+n}^b + \gamma_{a+n\ b+n}^c).$$

Proposition 3.1. *The underlying almost symplectic structure on M is integrable iff its structure tensor is zero.*

Proof. The structure is integrable if $d\omega = 0$, and this condition is satisfied locally if

$$\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{jk}^a\theta^j \wedge \theta^k \wedge \theta^{a+n} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{jk}^{a+n}\theta^a \wedge \theta^j \wedge \theta^k = 0.$$

Equations (3.2), ..., (3.5) show that this is true if $C = 0$. q.e.d.

We next calculate the structure tensor for the underlying distribution on the almost cotangent manifold M . The Lie algebra for the distribution group consists of the $2n \times 2n$ matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & D \end{bmatrix},$$

and it admits a basis

$$W_b^a, W_b^{a+n}, W_{b+n}^{a+n}.$$

In this case we can define ρ in just one way so that $C = \gamma + \rho$ satisfies the linear conditions

$$C_{bk}^i = 0, \quad C_{b+n\ c+n}^{a+n} = 0.$$

The components C of the structure tensor relative to the coframe θ are then all zero except

$$(3.6) \quad C_{b+n\ c+n}^a = \gamma_{b+n\ c+n}^a.$$

Proposition 3.2. *The underlying distribution on M is integrable iff its structure tensor is zero.*

Proof. $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n$ is a local cobasis for the distribution. If $C = 0$, it follows from equation (3.6) that

$$d\theta^a = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{bc}^a \theta^b + 2\gamma_{b+n}^a \theta^{b+n}) \wedge \theta^c,$$

and Frobenius Theorem shows that the distribution is integrable. q.e.d.

Finally we calculate the structure tensor for the almost cotangent structure on M . The Lie algebra for the almost cotangent group consists of the $2n \times 2n$ matrices

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & -A^t \end{bmatrix}$$

where the $n \times n$ matrix B is symmetric. It admits a basis consisting of the matrices

$$(W_b^a - W_{a+n}^{b+n}), (W_b^{a+n} + W_a^{b+n}).$$

We can define ρ in just one way so that $C = \gamma + \rho$ satisfies the linear conditions

$$\begin{aligned} C_{bc}^a &= 0, & C_{b+n}^{a+n} &= 0, \\ C_{b+c+n}^{a+n} + C_{a+c+n}^{b+n} &= 0, & C_{b+c+n}^a + C_{a+n}^c &= 0, \\ C_{bc}^{a+n} &= C_{ca}^{b+n} = C_{ab}^{c+n}. \end{aligned}$$

The components C of the structure tensor relative to the coframe θ are then given by equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), (3.6). From this we deduce

Proposition 3.3. *The structure tensor of an almost cotangent structure is zero iff the structure tensors of the underlying distribution and almost symplectic structure are both zero.*

Propositions 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 now lead to

Proposition 3.4. *An almost cotangent structure is integrable iff its structure tensor is zero.*

Any G -structure is said to be *almost transitive* if its structure tensor is constant. If the group G includes an element αI , where the real number α is not 1, such a structure tensor is necessarily zero. Since the almost cotangent group includes the element $-I$, we have

Proposition 3.5. *An almost cotangent structure is almost transitive iff it is integrable.*

4. A nondegenerate Riemannian metric S on a manifold M defines a class of conjugate structures on M . S is said to be *related* to a given G -structure on M if one of these conjugate structures has a common subordinate structure with the given G -structure.

Among the conjugate structures is included one $O_s(R^n)$ structure, the components of S relative to any adapted frame of this structure being

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_s & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{n-s} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If this $O_s(R^n)$ structure has a common subordinate structure with the given G -structure, then the metric S is called a G -metric.

A positive-definite G -metric on an almost cotangent manifold will be called an *almost cotangent metric*. Such metrics are studied in this section.

Lemma 4.1. *If S is a positive-definite Riemannian metric on an almost cotangent manifold M , then there exists an adapted moving frame ρ at any given point $m \in M$ relative to which S has components of the form*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Choose any adapted moving frame σ at m , and suppose that, relative to σ , S has components

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q^t & R \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because this matrix is positive-definite, we can choose a differentiable function A at m with values in $GL(R^n)$ such that $AA^t = R$. We then define

$$B = -\frac{1}{2}[Q(A^{-1})^t + R^{-1}Q^tA].$$

The moving frame

$$\rho = \sigma \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & (A^{-1})^t \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies our requirements, since it is adapted for the almost cotangent structure on M and the components of S relative to ρ

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^t & B^t \\ 0 & A^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q^t & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & (A^{-1})^t \end{bmatrix}$$

reduce to the form (4.1). q.e.d.

A Riemannian metric on a manifold determines a scalar product on each tangent space and each cotangent space. We denote both of these by the same symbol (\cdot).

Proposition 4.2. *A positive-definite Riemannian metric S on an almost cotangent manifold M is an almost cotangent metric iff*

$$(4.3) \quad \omega(X \cdot \omega Y) = (X \cdot Y)$$

for all vector fields X and Y in M , where ω is the canonical 2-form on M .

Proof. The condition (4.3) can be expressed in tensor form as

$$(4.4) \quad \omega = -S\omega^{-1}S.$$

If S is an almost cotangent metric, then at any given point of M there is a frame relative to which S and ω have components

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively. The tensor relation (4.4) is therefore satisfied on M .

Conversely, suppose that (4.4) is satisfied. Choose a special adapted moving frame ρ (as defined in Lemma 4.1) at a given point $m \in M$. Evaluating the relation (4.4) in terms of ρ shows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that $b = 0$ and $a = I$. Consequently ρ is adapted for the $O(R^{2n})$ structure defined by S as well as for the almost cotangent structure. These two structures therefore have a common subordinate structure. q.e.d.

That almost cotangent metrics exist on any paracompact almost cotangent manifold follows from

Proposition 4.3. Any given positive-definite Riemannian metric S on an almost cotangent manifold M determines an almost cotangent metric on M .

Proof. Lemma 4.1 shows that there exists a set of special adapted moving frames for the almost cotangent structure whose domains cover M and for which S has components (4.1). Any two such moving frames $\rho, \bar{\rho}$ with intersecting domains U, \bar{U} are related by

$$\bar{\rho} = \rho \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & (A^{-1})^t \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A^t B = B^t A$. Since the components of S relative to $\bar{\rho}$ are given on $U \cap \bar{U}$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^t & B^t \\ 0 & A^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & (A^{-1})^t \end{bmatrix}$$

it follows that $A \in O(R^n)$ and $B = 0$. Consequently the special adapted moving frames also define an $O(R^{2n})$ -structure on M . The associated metric on M is an almost cotangent metric. q.e.d.

We continue with the problem of constructing an almost cotangent metric. An easy calculation using Proposition 4.2 leads to

Proposition 4.4. *A positive-definite Riemannian metric on an almost cotangent manifold M is an almost cotangent metric iff its components relative to any adapted frame of M are of the form*

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} R^{-1} + QR^{-1}Q^t & Q \\ Q^t & R \end{bmatrix}$$

where R is a positive-definite $n \times n$ matrix and RQ is symmetric.

This proposition shows that if σ is an adapted moving frame of M with domain U we can construct an almost cotangent metric on U when we are given differentiable $n \times n$ matrix-valued functions Q, R on U such that R is positive-definite and RQ is symmetric. If $\bar{\sigma}$ is an adapted moving frame on \bar{U} such that

$$\bar{\sigma} = \sigma \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & (A^{-1})^t \end{bmatrix}$$

with corresponding functions \bar{Q}, \bar{R} , and if

$$(4.6) \quad \bar{R}A^t = A^{-1}R, \quad \bar{Q}A^t = A^tQ + B^tR,$$

then the two metrics agree on $U \cap \bar{U}$. We use this result in

Example 4.1. Starting from a positive-definite metric g on a manifold \mathcal{M} we construct an almost cotangent metric on $T^*\mathcal{M}$. If x is a chart of \mathcal{M} , the moving frame σ associated with the standard chart (x, y) is adapted for the almost cotangent structure on $T^*\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that g^{ab} are the components of g^{-1} associated with the chart x , and that Γ_{bc}^a are the corresponding Christoffel symbols. We use these to define matrix-valued functions

$$Q = [-g^{bc}\Gamma_{ca}^d y_d], \quad R = [g^{ab}]$$

on the domain U of σ . Since R is positive-definite and RQ is symmetric, we have an almost cotangent metric on U with components (4.5) relative to σ . The corresponding functions \bar{Q}, \bar{R} on \bar{U} are related to Q, R by equations (4.6), where A and B are defined in (1.2).

5. An almost cotangent metric is an example of a related metric. We now describe another related metric on an almost cotangent manifold M .

A Riemannian metric on M such that

(i) $(\omega X \cdot \omega Y) = -(X \cdot Y)$ for all vector fields X, Y in M ,

(ii) $(X, Y) = 0$ for all vector fields X, Y in M tangent to the distribution \mathcal{D} will be said to be *skew invariant*. That such metrics always exist on a paracompact almost cotangent manifold follows from

Proposition 5.1. *Any given positive-definite Riemannian metric S on an*

almost cotangent manifold M determines a skew invariant metric \bar{S} on M .

Proof. Suppose that σ is any adapted moving frame of M , and that, relative to σ , S has components (4.2). We define a $(2,0)$ tensor field locally by taking its components relative to σ to be

$$\begin{bmatrix} R^{-1}Q^i + QR^{-1} & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that such local fields agree on the intersection of their domains, and so they define a $(2,0)$ tensor field \bar{S} on M . \bar{S} is a skew invariant metric.

Example 5.1. We use the above proposition to construct a skew invariant metric \bar{S} on $T^*\mathcal{M}$ starting from the almost cotangent metric S described in Example 4.1. The components of \bar{S} relative to the natural moving frame associated with the chart (x, y) reduce to

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2\Gamma_{ab}^c y_c & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently \bar{S} is the Riemann extension of the Riemannian connection of the metric g on \mathcal{M} as defined by E. M. Patterson and A. G. Walker [3]. The Riemannian connection may be replaced by any symmetric linear connection on \mathcal{M} .

Not every skew invariant metric arises in the way we have described in Proposition 5.1, and in general we have

Proposition 5.2. A Riemannian metric on an almost cotangent manifold is skew invariant iff its components related to every adapted frame are of the form

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q^i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where P is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix, $Q^2 = I$ and $QPQ^i = P$.

Proof. A metric S has components (4.2) relative to an adapted frame. Suppose that S is skew invariant. Condition (ii) implies that $R = 0$, and then condition (i) implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q^i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ Q^i & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This shows that $Q^2 = I$ and $QPQ^i = P$. The converse result is proved in a similar way. q.e.d.

Next we show that a skew invariant metric on a connected almost cotangent manifold is a related metric.

Lemma 5.3. *If S is a skew invariant metric on an almost cotangent manifold M , then there exists an adapted moving frame ρ at any given point $m \in M$ relative to which S has components*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ K & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where K is some diagonal $n \times n$ matrix of the form

$$\text{diag} \{1, 1, \dots, 1, -1, -1, \dots, -1\}.$$

Proof. Let σ be an adapted moving frame at m , and suppose that S has components (5.1) relative to σ . The differentiable matrix-valued function Q satisfies $Q^2 = I$, and so we can find a differentiable function A on some connected neighborhood U of m such that $AQA^{-1} = K$ where $K = \text{diag} \{1, 1, \dots, -1\}$. If we define B on U by $PA^t + 2QB = 0$, then, since $QPQ^t = P$,

$$\rho = \sigma \begin{bmatrix} A^t & 0 \\ B & A^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is also an adapted moving frame at m . It has the property required. q.e.d.

As a simple consequence of the above lemma we have

Proposition 5.4. *Every skew invariant metric on a $2n$ -dimensional almost cotangent manifold has signature (n, n) .*

Proposition 5.5. *Any skew invariant metric on a connected almost cotangent manifold is related to the almost cotangent structure.*

Proof. Suppose that $\rho, \bar{\rho}$ are two moving frames as described in Lemma 5.3 and that the corresponding components of the metric S are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ K & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{K} \\ \bar{K} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose that the domains of these moving frames intersect, and that

$$\bar{\rho} = \rho \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & (A^{-1})^t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $A^{-1}KA = \bar{K}$. Since the matrices K, \bar{K} have the same trace, they are equal. Because M is connected, we can find a set of such adapted moving frames ρ whose domains cover M and with respect to which the components of S are the same. It follows that these moving frames are also adapted to one of the G -structures defined by S .

6. Suppose that a manifold M carries a G -structure. A connection on the adapted frame bundle $P(M, G)$ determines a linear connection on M called a G -connection. Any linear connection on M is a G -connection iff the local con-

nection forms which correspond to adapted moving frames of M have values in the Lie algebra of G . It is sufficient if this connection is satisfied for a set of adapted moving frames whose domains cover M . When M is an almost cotangent manifold this leads to

Proposition 6.1. *A linear connection on an almost cotangent manifold is an almost cotangent connection iff it is a connection for both the underlying distribution and almost symplectic structure.*

Since the Lie algebra of the almost cotangent group consists of the $2n \times 2n$ matrices of the form (3.7), we deduce

Proposition 6.2. *A linear connection on an almost cotangent manifold is an almost cotangent connection iff its coefficients relative to each adapted moving coframe satisfy the conditions*

$$\Gamma_{j \ c+n}^a = 0, \quad \Gamma_{j \ c}^a = -\Gamma_{j \ a+n}^{c+n}, \quad \Gamma_{j \ c}^{a+n} = \Gamma_{j \ a}^{c+n},$$

where $a, c = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, 2n$.

Example 6.1. Let \mathcal{V} be any symmetric linear connection on a manifold \mathcal{M} . The Riemann extension of \mathcal{V} (Example 5.1) is a metric on $T^*\mathcal{M}$. The Riemannian connection $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ of this metric is called the *complete lift* of \mathcal{V} . K. Yano and E. M. Patterson [5] show that its components relative to any standard chart (x, y) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{bc}^a &= \Gamma_{bc}^a, & \bar{\Gamma}_{b \ c+n}^a &= \bar{\Gamma}_{b+n \ c}^a = \bar{\Gamma}_{b+n \ c+n}^a = 0, \\ \bar{\Gamma}_{bc}^{a+n} &= y_d \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{bc}^d}{\partial x^a} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ca}^d}{\partial x^b} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ba}^d}{\partial x^c} + 2\Gamma_{ae}^d \Gamma_{bc}^e \right), \\ \bar{\Gamma}_{b \ c+n}^{a+n} &= -\Gamma_{ba}^c, & \bar{\Gamma}_{b+n \ c}^{a+n} &= -\Gamma_{ac}^b, & \bar{\Gamma}_{b+n \ c+n}^{a+n} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c, d, e = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that if \mathcal{V} has zero curvature, then $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ is an almost cotangent connection.

Example 6.2. Starting from a symmetric connection \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{M} , the same authors [6] have defined another connection $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ on $T^*\mathcal{M}$ called the *horizontal lift* of \mathcal{V} . Its components relative to any standard chart (x, y) only differ from the corresponding components of the complete lift by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{bc}^{a+n} = y_d \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{ca}^d}{\partial x^b} + \Gamma_{ae}^d \Gamma_{bc}^e + \Gamma_{ce}^d \Gamma_{ba}^e \right).$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is therefore always an almost cotangent connection.

References

- [1] M. R. Bruckheimer, Thesis, University of Southampton, 1960.
- [2] S. S. Chern, *Pseudo-groupes continus infinis*, Colloq. Topologie Géom. Différentielle, Strasbourg, 1953, 119-136.

- [3] E. M. Patterson & A. G. Walker, *Riemann extensions*, Quart. J. Math. **3** (1952) 19–28.
- [4] K. Yano, *Tensor fields and connections on cross-sections in the cotangent bundle*, Tôhoku Math. J. **19** (1967) 32–48.
- [5] K. Yano & E. M. Patterson, *Vertical and complete lifts from a manifold to its cotangent bundle*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **19** (1967) 91–113.
- [6] ———, *Horizontal lifts from a manifold to its cotangent bundle*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **19** (1967) 185–198.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, ENGLAND
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CANADA